

ON p -CENTRAL GROUPS

URBAN JEZERNIK

ABSTRACT. We extend the notion of free p -central groups for odd primes p to the case $p = 2$ by introducing a variant of the lower p -central series. This enables us to calculate Schur multipliers of free p -central groups. We also prove that for any p -central group the exponent of its Schur multiplier divides the exponent of the group, and determine its exponential rank.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite p -group and denote by $\Omega_i(G)$ the subgroup of G generated by the elements of order dividing p^i . We call G a p -central group if p is odd and $\Omega_1(G) \leq Z(G)$, or if $p = 2$ and $\Omega_2(G) \leq Z(G)$. These groups are the dual counterpart of powerful p -groups, which have proved immensely useful in the study of finite p -groups and pro- p groups [11, 4].

The power structure of p -central groups has been explicitly studied by several authors, beginning with Laffey [9, 10], and more recently by Mann [12]. It has been shown that these groups possess certain properties of regular p -groups, for example, the subgroup $\Omega_i(G)$ is equal to the subset of all elements of order dividing p^i . However, unlike powerful p -groups, p -central groups need not be regular (cf. [2]). A more general approach to the study of these groups has been undertaken by Bubboloni and Corsi Tani in [3], where free p -central groups are constructed for odd primes p . Their work is based on the properties of the well-known lower p -central series $\lambda_i(F_r)$ of the free group F_r on r -generators [8, VIII]. More specifically, they proved that the group ${}^nG_r = F_r/\lambda_{n+1}(F_r)$ is p -central of exponent p^n , and that any r -generated p -group of exponent p^n is its homomorphic image.

In this note, we extend the results of [3] to the even prime by appropriately adapting the lower p -central series λ_i to a variant $\bar{\lambda}_i$, defined inductively by $\bar{\lambda}_1(G) = G$ and $\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(G) = \bar{\lambda}_n(G)^4[\bar{\lambda}_n(G), G]$. This is of course motivated by the definition of p -central groups. After establishing some basic properties of this series, we put ${}^nG_r = F_r/\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)$ and prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *The group ${}^nG_r$ is an r -generated 2-central group of exponent 4^n . Moreover, any r -generated 2-central group of exponent 2^n is a homomorphic image of ${}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}G_r$, and more generally: any finite r -generated 2-group is a homomorphic image of ${}^mG_r$ for some m .*

Date: June 9, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20D15.

Key words and phrases. p -central group, Schur multiplier.

We obtain several properties of the group ${}^nG_r$ which are known to hold in the odd case. This is done by studying the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series in more detail, the results of which also enable us to provide an explicit formula for the Schur multiplier of free p -central groups via Hopf's formula.

Theorem 1.2. *The Schur Multiplier $M({}^nG_r)$ is elementary abelian (resp. free $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -module for $p = 2$) of rank $\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} c(r, i)$, where $c(w, g)$ is the number of basic commutators of weight w on g generators in a fixed sequence.*

For general p -central groups, we prove a classical result concerning the exponent of their Schur multipliers. The theorem is an affirmation of a special case of a conjecture due to Schur, stating that the exponent of $M(G)$ divides $\exp G$ for every finite group G . By homological arguments, it suffices to consider this question for finite p -groups. The conjecture has turned out to be false in general (cf. [13]), yet it is still not known whether or not it holds true for odd primes p . We prove that it is so for the class of p -central groups.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a p -central group. Then $\exp M(G) \leq \exp G$.*

Our final contribution to the study of p -central groups is determining their exponential rank, recently introduced by Moravec in [13]. This provides yet another link between p -central groups and powerful p -groups, as the results are completely the same, and indicates a potentially profounder role of exponential rank. The theorem goes as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Let G be a p -central group.*

- (a) *If p is odd, then $\text{exprank}(G) = 0$.*
- (b) *If $p = 2$ and G is not abelian, then $\text{exprank}(G) = 1$.*

2. THE $\bar{\lambda}$ -SERIES

Let the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series of a group G be defined recursively by

$$\bar{\lambda}_1(G) = G, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(G) = \bar{\lambda}_n(G)^4 [\bar{\lambda}_n(G), G].$$

This is a descending series of characteristic subgroups of G . Following [8], we also denote

$$N_{n,k}(G) = \gamma_k(G)^{4^{n-k}} \gamma_{k-1}(G)^{4^{n-k-1}} \cdots \gamma_n(G)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Our first aim is to show that the groups $\bar{\lambda}_i$ and $N_{i,j}$ are tightly correlated. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group and m, n, k positive integers.*

- (a) *For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $[\gamma_i(G)^{4^{n-i}}, G] \leq N_{n+1, i+1}(G)$.*
- (b) *$[N_{n,k}(G), G] = N_{n+1, k+1}(G)$ and $N_{n,k}(G)^{4^m} \leq N_{n+m, k}(G)$.*

Proof. Using [8, Lemma 1.2], we have

$$[\gamma_i(G)^{4^{n-i}}, G] \leq \prod_{r=0}^{2(n-i)} \gamma_{1+i2^r}(G)^{2^{2(n-i)-r}}.$$

Taking two consecutive terms of this product into account, the inclusion

$$\gamma_{1+i2^{2s+1}}(G)^{2^{2(n-i)-(2s+1)}} \gamma_{1+i2^{2s+2}}(G)^{2^{2(n-i)-(2s+2)}} \leq \gamma_{i+s+2}(G)^{4^{(n-i)-(s+1)}}$$

holds for any $0 \leq s \leq n-i-1$, since $1+i2^{2s+1} \geq i+s+2$. This proves part (a) of the lemma, from which the inclusion $[N_{n,k}(G), G] \leq N_{n+1,k+1}(G)$ of the first part of (b) follows directly. For the other inclusion, we refer the reader to the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [1], the argument is essentially the same. The second part of (b) follows from the classical Hall-Petrescu formula: $N_{n,k}(G)^4 \leq N_{n+1,k}(G) \cdot [N_{n,k}(G), N_{n,k}(G)] \leq N_{n+1,k}(G)$. $\square$

An explicit formula for the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series is thus at hand.

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a group. For any n , we have $\bar{\lambda}(G)_n = N_{n,1}(G)$.*

Proof. It suffices to verify that the series $N_{n,1}(G)$ satisfies the recursion formula for the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series, and we do this by induction. We plainly have $\gamma_i(G)^{4^{n-i+1}} \leq (\gamma_i(G)^{4^{n-i}})^4 \leq N_{n,1}(G)^4$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\gamma_{n+1}(G) = [\gamma_n(G), G] \leq [N_{n,1}(G), G]$, which implies $N_{n+1,1}(G) \leq N_{n,1}(G)^4 [N_{n,1}(G), G]$. The reverse inclusion follows from Lemma 2.1. $\square$

When the nilpotent quotients of the given group G are torsion-free (for example when G is free), another connection between the groups $\bar{\lambda}_i(G)$ and $N_{i,j}(G)$ exists. In the proof, we will use a well-known consequence of the Hall-Petrescu formula, which we state beforehand.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a group and $x, y \in G$. Suppose p is a prime and k a positive integer. Then*

$$(xy)^{p^k} \equiv x^{p^k} y^{p^k} \pmod{\gamma_2(\langle x, y \rangle)^{p^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_{p^i}(\langle x, y \rangle)^{p^{k-i}}}.$$

If furthermore x and $[x, y]$ belong to $H \leq G$, then

$$[x^{p^k}, y] \equiv [x, y]^{p^k} \pmod{\gamma_2(H)^{p^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \gamma_{p^i}(H)^{p^{k-i}}}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a group and n, k positive integers.*

(a) *For any $x, y \in \gamma_{k-1}(G)$, we have*

$$(xy)^{4^{n-k+1}} \equiv x^{4^{n-k+1}} y^{4^{n-k+1}} \pmod{N_{n,k}(G) \cap N_{n+1,k-1}(G)}.$$

(b) *Any element of $N_{n,k}(G)$ can be written in the form $a_k^{4^{n-k}} a_{k+1}^{4^{n-k-1}} \cdots a_n$ for suitable $a_j \in \gamma_j(G)$.*

(c) *Suppose that the groups $G/\gamma_j(G)$ are torsion-free for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $\bar{\lambda}_n(G) \cap \gamma_k(G) = N_{n,k}(G)$.*

Proof. Note first that $\gamma_a(G)^{4^b} \leq N_{n,k}(G)$ whenever $a \geq k$ and $a+b \geq n$.

(a) We use Lemma 2.3. Note that $\gamma_2(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq \gamma_{2(k-1)}(G)$. Taking two consecutive terms of the product $\prod_{i=1}^{2(n-k+1)} \gamma_{2^i(k-1)}(G)^{2^{2(n-k+1)-i}}$ into account, we see

that for any $0 \leq s \leq n - k$, the group

$$\gamma_{2^{2s+1}(k-1)}(G)^{2^{2(n-k+1)-(2s+1)}} \gamma_{2^{2s+2}(k-1)}(G)^{2^{2(n-k+1)-(2s+2)}}$$

is contained in $\gamma_{2^{2s+1}(k-1)}(G)^{2^{2(n-k+1)-(2s+2)}}$, which is itself a subgroup of the intersection $N_{n,k}(G) \cap N_{n+1,k-1}(G)$, since $2^{2s+1}(k-1) + (n-k+1) - (s+1) \geq 2^{2s+1} + n - s \geq n + 1$.

(b) This is proved by reverse induction on k , the case $k = n$ being trivial. Suppose $x \in N_{n,k-1}(G) = \gamma_{k-1}(G)^{4^{n-k+1}} \gamma_k(G)^{4^{n-k}} \cdots \gamma_n(G)$. Then there exist elements $x_1, \dots, x_l \in \gamma_{k-1}(G)$ such that $x = x_1^{4^{n-k-1}} x_2^{4^{n-k-1}} \cdots x_l^{4^{n-k-1}} c$, where $c \in N_{n,k}(G)$. We finish off using (a) followed by the induction hypothesis.

(c) We prove the claim by induction on k . First note that the inclusion $N_{n,k}(G) \leq \bar{\lambda}_n(G) \cap \gamma_k(G)$ holds without the additional assumptions. Now take any $x \in \bar{\lambda}_n(G) \cap \gamma_k(G)$. By the induction hypothesis and (a), there exist elements $a \in \gamma_{k-1}(G)$ and $y \in N_{n,k}(G)$ such that $x = a^{4^{n-k+1}} y$. This implies $a^{4^{n-k+1}} \in \gamma_k(G)$, and as the group $\gamma_{k-1}(G)/\gamma_k(G)$ is torsion-free, we have $a \in \gamma_k(G)$ and thus $x \in N_{n+1,k}(G)$. $\square$

We now prove further properties of the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series which are analogues of the well-known properties of the lower p -central series.

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a group and m, n positive integers. Then*

$$\bar{\lambda}_n(G)^{4^m} \leq \bar{\lambda}_{n+m}(G) \quad \text{and} \quad [\bar{\lambda}_n(G), \bar{\lambda}_m(G)] \leq \bar{\lambda}_{n+m}(G).$$

Proof. The first inclusion is clear. We prove the second one by induction on m . By the Three Subgroups Lemma, $[\bar{\lambda}_n(G), [\bar{\lambda}_m(G), G]] \leq \bar{\lambda}_{m+n+1}(G)$. It remains to prove that $[\bar{\lambda}_n(G), \bar{\lambda}_m(G)^4]$ is contained in $\bar{\lambda}_{n+m+1}(G)$. Picking any $x \in \bar{\lambda}_m(G)$ and $y \in \bar{\lambda}_n(G)$, we have $[x, y] \in \bar{\lambda}_{n+m}(G)$ and $[x, y, x] \in \bar{\lambda}_{n+2m}(G)$ by induction. Putting $H = \langle x, [x, y] \rangle$ and using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$[x^4, y] \equiv [x, y]^4 \pmod{\gamma_2(H)^2 \gamma_4(H)}.$$

Now $[x, y]^4 \in \bar{\lambda}_{n+m}(G)^4 \leq \bar{\lambda}_{n+m+1}(G)$ and $\gamma_2(H) \leq \bar{\lambda}_{n+2m}(G)$, which implies $\gamma_2(H)^2 \gamma_4(H) \leq \gamma_2(H) \leq \bar{\lambda}_{n+m+1}(G)$. This concludes our proof. $\square$

Continuing in the manner of [3], we provide a version of [8, VIII, Theorem 1.8].

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a group and n a positive integer. If $x \in \gamma_j(G)$ and $y \in \gamma_j(G)^4 \gamma_{j+1}(G)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, then $(xy)^{4^{n-j}} \equiv x^{4^{n-j}} \pmod{N_{n+1,j}(G)}$.*

Proof. Both x and y are elements of $\gamma_i(G)$. By Proposition 2.4 with $k = i + 1$, we have $(xy)^{4^{n-i}} \equiv x^{4^{n-i}} y^{4^{n-i}} \pmod{N_{n+1,i}(G)}$. Since $y \in N_{i+1,i}(G)$, we also have $y^{4^{n-i}} \in N_{n+1,i}(G)$ by Proposition 2.1, hence the lemma. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *Let G be a group and n, k positive integers.*

(a) *There is an epimorphism β from the direct product*

$$\frac{\gamma_k(G)}{\gamma_k(G)^4 \gamma_{k+1}(G)} \times \frac{\gamma_{k+1}(G)}{\gamma_{k+1}(G)^4 \gamma_{k+2}(G)} \times \cdots \times \frac{\gamma_n(G)}{\gamma_n(G)^4 \gamma_{n+1}(G)}$$

onto the quotient $N_{n,k}(G)/N_{n+1,k}(G)$, given by

$$\beta(\bar{a}_k, \bar{a}_{k+1}, \dots, \bar{a}_n) = a_k^{4^{n-k}} a_{k+1}^{4^{n-k-1}} \cdots a_n N_{n+1,k}(G),$$

where $a_j \in \gamma_j(G)$ and $\bar{a}_j = a_j \gamma_j(G)^4 \gamma_{j+1}(G)$.

- (b) Suppose that the groups $G/\gamma_j(G)$ are torsion-free for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then the map β of (a) is an isomorphism.

Proof. (a) It follows from the previous Lemma that the mapping β is well-defined. It is a homomorphism by Proposition 2.4 (a), and it is surjective by (b) of the same proposition.

(b) We are proving that β is injective. So suppose a_j, b_j are elements of $\gamma_j(G)$, $k \leq j \leq n$, for which

$$a_k^{4^{n-k}} a_{k+1}^{4^{n-k-1}} \cdots a_n \equiv b_k^{4^{n-k}} b_{k+1}^{4^{n-k-1}} \cdots b_n \pmod{N_{n+1,k}(G)}.$$

We prove that this implies $a_j \equiv b_j \pmod{\gamma_j(G)\gamma_{j+1}(G)^4}$ for all $k \leq j \leq n$ by induction on j . By hypothesis, $a_l \equiv b_l \pmod{\gamma_l(G)\gamma_{l+1}(G)^4}$ for all $k \leq l \leq j-1$, so $a_l^{4^{n-l-1}} \equiv b_l^{4^{n-l-1}} \pmod{N_{n,k}(G)}$ by Lemma 2.6. This now implies

$$a_j^{4^{n-j}} a_{j+1}^{4^{n-j-1}} \cdots a_n \equiv b_j^{4^{n-j}} b_{j+1}^{4^{n-j-1}} \cdots b_n \pmod{N_{n+1,k}(G) \cap \gamma_j(G)}.$$

By Proposition 2.4 (c), the intersection $N_{n+1,k}(G) \cap \gamma_j(G)$ equals $N_{n+1,j}(G)$. Note that the obtained congruence also holds when $j = k$. Hence

$$a_j^{4^{n-j}} \equiv b_j^{4^{n-j}} \pmod{\gamma_j(G)^{4^{n-j}} \gamma_{j+1}(G)}.$$

The group $\gamma_j(G)/\gamma_{j+1}(G)$ is abelian, so there exists an element $c \in \gamma_j(G)$ such that $a_j^{4^{n-j+1}} \equiv (b_j c^4)^{4^{n-j+1}} \pmod{\gamma_{j+1}(G)}$. The assumption that the factor group $\gamma_j(G)/\gamma_{j+1}(G)$ is also torsion-free now gives us the desired congruence $a_j \equiv b_j \pmod{\gamma_j(G)^4 \gamma_{j+1}(G)}$. $\square$

We will primarily be interested in the case when G is a free group of finite rank. In this case, the stated theorem is fully applicable, and so the factor groups of the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series are particularly easy to describe.

Theorem 2.8. *Let F_r be the free group of rank r and n a positive integer.*

- (a) *The group $\bar{\lambda}_n(F_r)/\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)$ can be embedded in $\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)/\bar{\lambda}_{n+2}(F_r)$. Moreover, there exists a base $\mathcal{A}_n \pmod{\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}}$ of $\bar{\lambda}_n/\bar{\lambda}_{i+n}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_n^4$ is independent in $\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}/\bar{\lambda}_{n+2}$.*
- (b) *If $\mathcal{C}_n$ is the set of all basic commutators of weight n in a fixed sequence, then the image of $\mathcal{B}_n := \mathcal{C}_1^{4^{n-1}} \cup \mathcal{C}_2^{4^{n-2}} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{C}_n$ in $\bar{\lambda}_n(F_r)/\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)$ is a base.*
- (c) *The map $\varphi_n: \bar{\lambda}_n(F_r) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)/\bar{\lambda}_{n+2}(F_r)$ given by $\varphi_n(x) = x^4 \bar{\lambda}_{n+2}(F_r)$ is a homomorphism and $\ker \varphi_n = \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}$.*

Proof. For (a) and (b) we invoke Theorem 2.7 and refer the reader to [3, Theorem 2.5], the proofs there are directly applicable in the case $p = 2$. To prove (c), we first show that the maps φ_n are indeed homomorphisms. When $n \geq 2$, this is true because for any $x, y \in \bar{\lambda}_n(F_r)$, we have $(xy)^4 = x^4 y^4 c$ for some $c \in \gamma_2(\bar{\lambda}_n(F_r)) \leq \bar{\lambda}_{2n}(F_r) \leq \bar{\lambda}_{n+2}(F_r)$. And when $n = 1$, this is true by Proposition 2.4 (a). We

now show that $\ker \varphi_n(F_r) = \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)$. Clearly $\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r) \leq \ker \varphi_n$, so pick any $x \in \lambda_n(F_r)$ with the property $x^4 \in \lambda_{n+2}(F_r)$. By (a), we can choose a base $\mathcal{A}_n \bmod \bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)$ of $\bar{\lambda}_n(F_r)/\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_n^4 \bmod \bar{\lambda}_{n+2}(F_r)$ is independent in $\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)/\bar{\lambda}_{n+2}(F_r)$. Expanding x with respect to this base and using the fact that φ_n is a homomorphism, we conclude $x \in \lambda_{n+1}(F_r)$. $\square$

3. FREE 2-CENTRAL GROUPS

We now use the results of the previous section to extend the theory of [3] to the case $p = 2$. For any positive integers n and $r \geq 2$, we set

$${}^n G_r = \frac{F_r}{\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *The group ${}^n G_r$ is a 2-central group of exponent 4^n and of nilpotency class n . Its $\bar{\lambda}$ -series is equal to $\bar{\lambda}_i({}^n G_r) = \bar{\lambda}_i(F_r)/\bar{\lambda}_{n+1}(F_r)$, and we have $\Omega_{2i}({}^n G_r) = \bar{\lambda}_{n+1-i}({}^n G_r)$. The order of ${}^n G_r$ equals $4^{b_1 + \dots + b_n}$, where b_i is the number of basic commutators of weight at most i on r generators. In particular, $|\Omega_2({}^n G_r)| = 4^{b_n}$.*

Proof. The claim on the shape of the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series is easily deduced by induction on i . Then the claims on the order, nilpotency class and exponent follow from the previous two theorems. The fact that ${}^n G_r$ is a 2-central group follows from Theorem 2.8 (c), because the $\bar{\lambda}$ -series of a group is a central series. The final claim on the Ω -subgroups of ${}^n G_r$ is proved identically as in [3, Theorem 3.5]. $\square$

Finally, we establish the importance of the group ${}^n G_r$ in the context of p -central groups.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a 2-central group with r generators and of exponent 2^n . Then G is a homomorphic image of ${}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1} G_r$. Moreover, any finite 2-group with r generators is a homomorphic image of ${}^m G_r$ for some m .*

Proof. In any 2-central group G of exponent 4^n , the Ω -series $\dots \leq \Omega_{2n-2} \leq \Omega_{2n} = G$ is central (see [2]), thus $\bar{\lambda}_i(G) \leq \Omega_{n-2i+2}(G)$ for all $i \geq 1$. In particular, $\bar{\lambda}_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}(G) = 1$. The presentation homomorphism $F_r \rightarrow G$ thus induces the required epimorphism. For the second part we only need to notice that for a finite 2-group G of exponent 2^n and of nilpotency class c , we have $\bar{\lambda}_{n+c-1}(G) = 1$ by Proposition 2.2. $\square$

4. SCHUR MULTIPLIERS

In this section, we present two results concerning the Schur multipliers of p -central groups. The first one is a complete description of the Schur multiplier of the free p -central groups ${}^n G_r$ for any prime p .

Theorem 4.1. *The Schur Multiplier $M({}^n G_r)$ is elementary abelian (resp. free $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -module for $p = 2$) of rank $\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} c(r, i)$, where $c(w, g)$ is the number of basic commutators of weight w on g generators (calculable by Witt's formula).*

Proof. For odd p , Hopf's formula and [8, VIII, 1.9] give us an isomorphism

$$M(^n G_r) \cong \frac{\gamma_2(F_r) \cap \lambda_{n+1}(F_r)}{[F_r, \lambda_{n+1}(F_r)]} = \frac{\gamma_2(F_r)^{p^{n-1}} \cdots \gamma_{n+1}(F_r)}{\gamma_2(F_r)^{p^n} \cdots \gamma_{n+2}(F_r)}.$$

There is now a well-defined mapping

$$\beta: \frac{\gamma_2(F_r)}{\gamma_2(F_r)^p \gamma_3(F_r)} \times \cdots \times \frac{\gamma_{n+1}(F_r)}{\gamma_{n+1}(F_r)^p \gamma_{n+2}(F_r)} \rightarrow \frac{\gamma_2(F_r)^{p^{n-1}} \cdots \gamma_{n+1}(F_r)}{\gamma_2(F_r)^{p^n} \cdots \gamma_{n+2}(F_r)},$$

given by $\beta(\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_3, \dots, \bar{a}_{n+1}) = a_2^{p^{n-1}} a_3^{p^{n-2}} \cdots a_{n+1} \gamma_2(F_r)^{p^n} \cdots \gamma_{n+2}(F_r)$, where $a_i \in \gamma_i(F_r)$ and $\bar{a}_i = a_i \gamma_i(F_r)^p \gamma_{i+1}(F_r)$. Moreover, β is a bijective homomorphism (cf. [8, VIII, 1.8 and 1.9]).

For $p = 2$, the proof is essentially the same, referring now to Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 2.1 (b) in providing the isomorphism between the product

$$\frac{\gamma_2(F_r)}{\gamma_2(F_r)^4 \gamma_3(F_r)} \times \frac{\gamma_3(F_r)}{\gamma_3(F_r)^4 \gamma_4(F_r)} \times \cdots \times \frac{\gamma_{n+1}(F_r)}{\gamma_{n+1}(F_r)^4 \gamma_{n+2}(F_r)}$$

and the multiplier $M(^n G_r)$. $\square$

Combining the above with Theorem 3.2 and [3, Theorem 4.1], we obtain the following corollary, dualising a result of [11] on powerful p -groups.

Corollary 4.2. *Every finite p -group is a quotient of a p -group whose Schur multiplier is of exponent p for odd p , 4 for $p = 2$.*

Our second result is a positive solution to a special case of the conjecture stating that the exponent of the multiplier $M(G)$ divides the exponent of G for any finite p -group G . We prove this by referring to Schur's theory of covering groups (cf. [7, V]).

Proposition 4.3. *Let G be a finite p -central group. Then $\exp M(G) \leq \exp G$.*

Proof. Let H be a covering group of G , so that $G \cong H/Z$ with $M(G) \cong Z \leq Z(H) \cap H'$, and let us denote $\exp G = p^e$. It suffices to prove that $H' \leq \Omega_e(H)$. Since Z is central in H , we have $[\Omega_e(H), H] = 1$. Using [5, Theorem 2.5 (ii)], we get

$$(H')^{p^e} \leq \prod_{i=1}^e [H^{p^{e-i}}, {}_{i(p-1)+1}H].$$

Since the group $G = H/Z$ is p -central, we have $(H/Z)^{p^{e-i}} \leq \Omega_i(H/Z) \leq Z_i(H/Z)$, from which we conclude $[H^{p^{e-i}}, {}_iH] \leq Z$. Since $i(p-1) \geq i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq e$ and Z is central in H , the right hand side of the above product is trivial. This completes our proof. $\square$

5. EXPONENTIAL RANK

Following [13], we determine the exponential rank of p -central groups. Recall that the exponent semigroup of a finite p -group G is

$$\mathcal{E}(G) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid (xy)^n = x^n y^n \text{ for all } x, y \in G\}.$$

Let $\exp(G/Z(G)) = p^e$. By [13, Proposition 3.2], there exists a unique nonnegative integer r such that $\mathcal{E}(G) = p^{e+r}\mathbb{Z} \cup (p^{e+r}\mathbb{Z} + 1)$. The exponential rank of the group G is defined to be $\text{exprank}(G) = r$. When G is regular, its exponential rank is equal to 0, and when G is powerful, we similarly have $\text{exprank}(G) = 0$ for odd p , $\text{exprank}(G) = 1$ for $p = 2$ if G is not abelian.

In the course of turning our attention to the exponential rank of p -central groups, let us first recall an old result of Laffey [10, Theorem 3].

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a p -central group. Then $\exp G' = \exp G/Z(G)$.*

Next we prove a lemma based on Hall's collection formula.

Lemma 5.2. *Let G be a p -central group. For any $x, y \in G$ such that $[x, y] \in \Omega_{i-\epsilon}(G)$ for some $i \geq 0$ and $\epsilon = 0$ for odd p , $\epsilon = 1$ for $p = 2$, we have $x^{p^i}y^{p^i} = (xy)^{p^i}$.*

Proof. By Hall's collection formula, we have

$$x^{p^i}y^{p^i} = (xy)^{p^i} c_2^{\binom{p^i}{2}} c_3^{\binom{p^i}{3}} \cdots c_{p^i}$$

for some $c_j \in \gamma_j(\langle x, y \rangle)$. It thus suffices to prove that $\exp \gamma_j(\langle x, y \rangle)$ divides the binomial $\binom{p^i}{j}$ for all $2 \leq j \leq p^i$. Let first p be odd. Since the Ω -series of G is central, we have $\gamma_j(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq \Omega_{i+2-j}(G)$ for all $j \geq 2$. Our claim is thus reduced to the fact that p^{i+2-j} divides $\binom{p^i}{j}$. Now let $[k]_p$ denote the largest integer such that $p^{[k]_p}$ divides k . A straightforward induction shows $[\binom{p^i}{j}]_p = i - [j]_p$. The inequality in question is therefore equivalent to $[j]_p \leq j - 2$ for all $j \geq 2$, which evidently holds since $[j]_p \leq \lfloor \log_p j \rfloor$. When $p = 2$, the series $1 = \Omega_0(G) \leq \Omega_2(G) \leq \cdots \leq G$ is central and thus $\gamma_j(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq \Omega_{i+3-2j}(G)$ for all $j \geq 2$. It now analogously suffices to prove the inequality $i + 3 - 2j \leq i - [j]_2$, which is again trivial. $\square$

Finally, we state and prove our theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be a p -central group.*

- (a) *If p is odd, then $\text{exprank}(G) = 0$.*
- (b) *If $p = 2$ and G is not abelian, then $\text{exprank}(G) = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $\exp G/Z(G) = p^e$. By Theorem 5.1, we have $\gamma_2(G) \leq \Omega_e(G)$. For p odd, Lemma 5.2 shows that the mapping $x \mapsto x^{p^e}$ is an endomorphism of G , which is exactly our claim. Now let $p = 2$. The same reasoning shows that the mapping $x \mapsto x^{2^{e+1}}$ is an endomorphism of G , so we have $\text{exprank}(G) \leq 1$. If G is abelian, then clearly $\text{exprank}(G) = 0$. Suppose now that there exists a nonabelian 2-central group G with $\text{exprank}(G) = 0$. Since $\gamma_2(G) \leq \Omega_e(G)$, we obtain $\gamma_i(G) \leq \Omega_{e+2-i}(G)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq e$, and consequently $\gamma_{2^i}(G) \leq \Omega_{e-i}(G)$. Lemma 2.3 thus implies

$$(xy)^{2^e} \equiv x^{2^e}y^{2^e} \pmod{\gamma_2(\langle x, y \rangle)^{2^{e-1}}}.$$

The corresponding terms in $\gamma_2(\langle x, y \rangle)^{2^{e-1}}$ can be computed using the commutator collection process [6, pp. 165–166]. We obtain

$$(xy)^{p^e} = x^{p^e}y^{p^e}[y, x]^{\binom{2^e}{2}}[y, x, x]^{\binom{2^e}{3}}[y, x, y]^{\binom{2^e}{2}+2\binom{2^e}{3}}.$$

Since $\exp \gamma_2(G) = 2^e$, the fact that the Ω -series is central in G gives $\exp \gamma_3(G) \leq 2^{e-1}$. We are also assuming $\text{exprank}(G) = 0$, so the above equality implies $[y, x] \in \Omega_{e-1}(G)$ for all $x, y \in G$, but this is clearly a contradiction. $\square$

Note that the obtained result coincides with the one for powerful p -groups.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Primož Moravec for adding his valuable suggestions and guidance.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Blackburn, L. Evens, *Schur multipliers of p -groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **309** (1979), 100–113.
- [2] D. Bubboloni, G. Corsi Tani, *p -groups with some regularity properties*, Ric. di Mat. **49** (2000), 327–339.
- [3] D. Bubboloni, G. Corsi Tani, *p -groups with all the elements of order p in the center*, Algebra Colloq. **11** (2004), 181–190.
- [4] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal, *Analytic pro- p groups*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [5] G. A. Fernández-Alcober, J. González-Sánchez, A. Jaikin-Zapirain, *Omega subgroups of pro- p groups*, Israel J. Math. **166** (2008), 393–412.
- [6] M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
- [7] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [8] B. Huppert, N. Blackburn, *Finite Groups II*, Springer-Verlag, New York - Berlin - Heidelberg, 1982.
- [9] T. J. Laffey, *A lemma on finite p -groups and some consequences*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **75** (1974), 133–137.
- [10] T. J. Laffey, *Centralizers of Elementary Abelian Subgroups in Finite p -groups*, J. Algebra **51** (1978), 88–96.
- [11] A. Lubotzky, A. Mann, *Powerful p -groups, I. Finite groups*, J. Algebra **105** (1987), 484–505.
- [12] A. Mann, *The power structure of p -groups II*, J. Algebra **318** (2007), 953–956.
- [13] P. Moravec, *Schur multipliers and power endomorphisms of groups*, J. Algebra **308** (2007), 12–25.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 21, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

E-mail address: urban.jezernik@gmail.com